RECEIVED CENTRAL FAX CENTER

LAW OFFICES OF

NCGINN IP LAW

MAY 0 5 2006

McGINN INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY LAW GROUP, PLLC

A PROFESSIONAL LIMITED LIABILITY COMPANY
PATENTS, TRADEMARKS, COPYRIGHTS, AND INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY LAW
8321 OLD COURTHOUSE ROAD, SUITE 200
VIENNA, VIRGINIA 22182-3817
TELEPHONE: (703) 761-4100

FACSIMILE/DATA: (703) 761-2375; 761-2376
E-MAIL: MCGINNGIBB @ AOL.COM / ADMIN @ MCGINNIPLAW.COM
SENDER'S E-MAIL: SMCGINN @ MCGINNIPLAW.COM

SEAN M. MCGINN
PHILLIP E. MILLER*
FREDERICK E. COOPERRIDER*
JAMES E. HOWARD*
JAMES N. DRESSER
JOHN J. DRESCH
SCOTT M. TULINO
J. BRADLEY WRIGHT*

"MEMBER OF BAR OTHER THAN VA

May 5, 2006

VIA FACSIMILE EXPEDITED PROCEDURE

To: Examiner Tuan V. Ho

Group Art Unit No. 2622

U. S. P. T. O.

From: Phillip E. Miller

Facsimile No. 571-273-8300

Facsimile No. 703-761-2375

Re: Filing of Statement of Substance of Interview

U. S. Patent Application Serial No. 09/811,464

Our Ref: MAS.008

Dear Examiner:

Enclosed please find a Statement of Substance of Interview regarding the Interview conducted via telephone on March 13, 2006.

Thank you in advance for your kind consideration of this case.

Phillip E. Miller

PEM/rap Enclosure

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re Application of

RECEIVED CENTRAL FAX CENTER

Tsuneo Sato et al.

MAY 0 5 2006

Serial No.:

09/811,464

Group Art Unit:

2615

Filed:

March 20, 2001

Examiner:

Tuan V. Ho

For:

ELECTRONIC CAMERA, INFORMATION OBTAINING SYSTEM AND PRINT

ORDER SYSTEM

Honorable Commissioner of Patents Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

STATEMENT OF SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW

Sir:

Applicant provides herewith his Statement of Substance of the telephone interview which was conducted between the Examiner and Applicant on March 13, 2006.

- Brief description of nature of exhibits/demonstrations: N/A 1.
- Claims discussed: 19, 20 2.
- Prior art discussed: Kimoto(U. S. Pat. 6,115,611), Squilla (U. S. Pat. 6,396,537) 3.
- Proposed amendments discussed:,

The Examiner stated that he intended to reject claim 19 as obvious over the combination of Kimoto et al. (not previously cited) in view of Squilla. The Examiner stated that cols. 16-17 are the pertinent portions of Kimota.

- Arguments made by Applicant's representative: In response to the Examiner's 5. comments, Applicant tentatively proposed instead of canceling claim 19, merging claim 20 into claim 19 which would make claim 19 include similar elements as allowable claim 1. However, the Examiner stated that the preamble of claim 1 would need to be added to allow claim 19 and, thus, he would not agree to allow claim 19 based on Applicant's proposal.
- Other pertinent matters: N/A 6.

Result of Interview: No agreement was reached. 7.

Date: 5/5/06

Phillip E. Miller

ectfully Submitted,

Reg. No. 46,060

McGinn IP Law Group, PLLC 8321 Old Courthouse Road, Suite 200

Vienna, VA 22182-3817 (703) 761-4100

Customer No. 21254

→ PTO

Ø 003/003

Serial No.: 09/811,464

MAS.008

2

CERTIFICATE OF FACSIMILE TRANSMISSION

I hereby certify that the foregoing was filed by facsimile with the United States Patent and Trademark Office, Examiner Tuan V. Ho, Group Art Unit # 2622 at fax number 571-273-8300 this ______ day of _______, 2006.

Phillip E. Miller Reg. No. 46,060